



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,428	12/27/2005	Joseph Steven Egan	DAP0101PUSA	6415
22045	7590	12/22/2008	EXAMINER	
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. 1000 TOWN CENTER TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075		OCHYLSKI, RYAN M		
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		1791		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		12/22/2008		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/562,428	EGAN, JOSEPH STEVEN	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) RYAN OCHYLSKI.

(3) ____.

(2) JOHN NEMAZI.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: ____

Time: 3:51 PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-26

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

John Nemazi called to clear up confusion on which set of claims to examine. The set of claims on which the original restriction was done was not the intended set of claims for prosecution. John Nemazi indicated what the proper set of claims was for prosecution and confirmed the previous election without traverse of the invention of Group I, method claims 1-12.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

